

Planning & Highways Committee

Minutes of the meeting of the Planning & Highways Committee held at the Council Chamber, 37 Church Street, Seaford, on Monday 21st December 2015 at 7.00 pm.

Present:

Councillor L Wallraven (Chairman)

Councillor R Honeyman (Vice Chairman)

Councillors D Argent, D Burchett, M Lambert, A Latham, P Lower, A McLean and L Worcester

Lucy Clark, Support Services Manager - Seaford Town Council

Jasmine Pollard, Apprentice Admin Assistant-Seaford Town Council (Minutes)

8 members of the public.

P67/12/15 Apologies for Absence and Declaration of Substitute Members

No apologies for absence were received.

P68/12/15 Disclosure of Interests

Under the Seaford Town Council Code of Conduct and the Localism Act 2011, Councillor L Worcester declared an interest other than a pecuniary interest on agenda item LW/15/0870 as a member of the Seaford Conservative Club Committee. Councillor L Wallraven declared an interest other than pecuniary interest on agenda item LW/15/0804 as a personal friend of a resident of 4 Marine Drive.

P69/12/15 Public Participation

Bob Gower

Extended best wishes to the Committee for a Merry Christmas and a

prosperous New Year.

Chairman

Thanked Mr Gower for his kind wishes.

P70/12/15 Planning Applications

Planning Applications week ending 27th November 2015

Seaford

2 Marine Drive Bishopstone

LW/15/0804

Planning Application - Replacement sun lounge to front, replacement lean-to/side porch, new dormer window to roof, rear single storey extension, new roof terrace over sun lounge, rear garden landscaped to include the erection of a home office and house

to be rendered for Ms N James

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION.

Seaford

47 Fitzgerald Avenue

LW/15/0838

Planning Application - Rear ground floor extension with internal

alterations for C/O Delavals Design

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION.

Seaford

Cresta Crouch Lane

LW/15/0870

Planning Application - Existing bungalow to be demolished and replaced with 8 two-bedroom houses with 8 car park spaces for Si Homes

Rod Smith

Urged the Committee to reject this application on the grounds that the proposed buildings having a dominating high skyline that is out of character with the road, oppressively overshadows its neighbours and invades privacy. Will cause traffic chaos, accidents and aggravate parking and access problems in Steyne Road and Crouch Lane. Fails to address the need for soakaway landscaping given the established drainage and flooding problems in Steyne Road. Ignores landslip, subsidence and safety issues with the dangers of the steep vertical slope on the eastern boundary. Departs from more than 56 years of planning history limiting development to bungalows. The residents would welcome a more appropriate and sympathetic resubmission tailored to the site and locality rather than just to building costs.

Sue Smith

Gave photographs and plans to the Committee to show the impact of the proposal on neighbouring properties, highlighting how the properties will overlook overs, evidence of badger activity and the likelihood of landslides.

Chantel Smitherman Expressed concerns about the large increase in the height and area of the frontage, an increase in flood risks to drainage infrastructure already cannot cope with the annual rainfall; caused by a heightened level of rain runoff if the site is paved, suggested that permeable paving be considered for the site and a covenant be put in place to prevent further paving of green areas on the site. Informed the Committee that parking is already a problem in the area and that the proposed build will only make this worse. Suggested that a footpath also be considered in this proposal as pedestrian access is limited.

Bob Gower

Notified members of the Committee and public that they are also able to attend the Lewes District Council Planning and Highways Meeting to express their concerns regarding this application.

It was **RESOLVED** to **OBJECT** on the grounds of overdevelopment, the elevated position causing overshadowing and loss of privacy to neighbouring properties; Sea Cottages and 67A Steyne Road, unreasonable density and inappropriate layout of properties on the site, lack of any archaeological assessment or survey for badger activity on the site, no evidence of piling; measures to prevent landslides, overbearing in height, being out of character with the street scene and increased traffic issues

Seaford

Whistles Seaford Railway Station

LW/15/0872

Listed Building Consent Application - Internally renovate the currently vacant east wing building (former Whistles cafe) and repair and redecorate the externals to match the existing colours for Heritage Refreshments

It was **RESOLVED** to **OBJECT** to the application, supporting the comments in the Lewes District Council Design and Conservation Officer's report.

Seaford

10 The Holt

LW/15/0888

Planning Application - Enlargement of the existing front entrance porch, together with the demolition of an existing conservatory and the erection of a single storey extension to the rear for Mr S ONeill

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION

Seaford

36 Church Street

LW/15/0891

Advertisement Consent Application - Illuminated shop sign over the glass front and side wall signs for Badger Inks ltd

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:32pm to allow members of the public to exit the meeting and reconvened at 7:35pm.

Planning Applications week ending 4th December 2015

Seaford

1 East Albany Road

LW/15/0787

Planning Application - Erection of a two storey extension and a conservatory at rear for Ms L Webster

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION.

Seaford

41 Headland Avenue

LW/15/0917

Planning Application - Single storey rear extension for Mr C Mercer

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION.

Seaford

21 Churchill Road

LW/15/0919

Planning Application - Single storey side extension with an associate front balcony for Mr H Creamer

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION.

Planning Applications week ending 11th December

Seaford

16 Kammond Avenue

LW/15/0840

Planning Application - Removal of outbuilding to allow single storey rear pitched and two storey side pitched extensions for Mr & Mrs M Soundars

Mrs M Saunders

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION

Seaford

Land Rear Of 99 Stafford Road

LW/15/0859

Planning Application - Erection of 2 x three bedroom semi-detached houses together with parking and footpath for Phil Chapman Builders Ltd

It was **RESOLVED** to make **NO OBJECTION** noting however that the committee have concerns relating to the integrity of the retaining wall and the provisions for water drainage needed.

Seaford

Croft Court Croft Lane

LW/15/0864

Planning Application - Replacement of all windows, doors and patio doors with uPVC units for First Port

It was **RESOLVED** to make **NO OBJECTION**.

Seaford

11 Clinton Place

LW/15/0880

Planning Application - To replace front bay window in first floor flat for Ollivers Restaurant

Seaford

25 Downsview Road

LW/15/0922

Planning Application - Single storey extension to the rear with raised decking for M Fish & V Eley

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION.

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION.

Tree Works Applications

Seaford

TW/15/0102/ TPO Chyngton House North Hamsey Lane Seaford East Sussex BN25 4DW T12 of the Order (T1 on the map) - Holm Oak - Reduce & re-shape crown on S & E side by approx 15% to leave a crown extension of 6.5m to the south and 6.5m to the east; reduce in height by approx 3m to leave a finished height of approx 13m.

T11 of the Order (T2 on the map)- Holm Oak - Reduce & reshape crown on the E side by approx 15% to leave a crown extension length of 6.7m; reduce in height by approx 3m to leave a finished height of aprox 13m.

T10 of the Order (T3 on the map) - Holm Oak - Reduce crown on W side by 3.5m to leave a finished crown extension length of 6.4m; Reduce crown on E side by 1.75m to leave a finished crown extension length of 3.8m; reduce in height by approx 3m to leave a finished height of 13m.

T9 of the Order (T4 on the map) - Elm - Reduce and reshape crown by approx 35% to give a finished height of 10m.

Reasons for the works to T10 - T12 are to ensure that the trees remain within their existing/proposed parameters thus restricting their ability to occupy any space greater than already occupied. The concerns of the residents have recently been highlighted by the recent loss of a limb from T11 which, from the information given to me by the residents, was due to excessive end loading and failure during high winds. The Holm Oaks cast a dense heavy shade over the amenity area and the propoals seek to mitigate this effect. A similar specification of works has been applied to the other Holm Oaks on the site and they have responded well, maintaining their amenity value to the suroounding landscape and garden environs.

In respect of the Elm the work specified is in response to a small ariel infection of DED. The hope is that the proposed reduction will be enough

Two Two

to remove any DED infection and enable to tree to survive; however, the result of this will not be known until the emegence of leaf next year. All the proposed works will comply with the relevant British Standard (BS3998)

It was RESOLVED to make NO OBJECTION.

P71/12/15 Update Report

Members NOTED the contents of report 127/15.

The meeting closed at 7:46pm

LWallraven 28/1/16.

Councillor L Wallraven

Chairman