

# Seaford Town Council Planning & Highways Agenda – 21<sup>st</sup> October 2021 To the Members of the Planning & Highways Committee

Councillors L Wallraven (Chair), L Boorman (Vice Chair), D Argent, J Edson, M Everden, R Honeyman, J Lord, J Meek and B Payne.

A meeting of the **Planning & Highways Committee** will be held at **Seaford Head School, Steyne Road, Seaford, BN25 1AL** on **Thursday 21<sup>st</sup> October 2021** at

**7.00pm**, which you are summoned to attend.

Adam Chugg Town Clerk 14<sup>th</sup> October 2021

#### PLEASE NOTE:

- Public attendance physically/in person at this meeting will be limited due to the size of the meeting space (exact number to be confirmed).
- The meeting will be video recorded and uploaded to the Town Council's YouTube channel after the meeting.
- See the end of the agenda for further details of public access and participation.
- All participants are encouraged to carry out a home Covid test before attending the meeting, wear a mask at the meeting (where able to do so) and ensure hands are sanitised upon entering.

#### **AGENDA**

# 1. Apologies for Absence

To consider apologies for absence.

#### 2. Disclosure of Interests

To deal with any disclosure by Members of any disclosable pecuniary interests and interests other than pecuniary interests, as defined under the Seaford Town Council Code of Conduct and the Localism Act 2011, in relation to matters on the agenda.

## 3. Public Participation

To deal with any questions, or brief representations, from members of the public in accordance with relevant legislation and Seaford Town Council Policy.

In accordance with Town Council policy, members of the public wishing to speak on individual planning applications may do so immediately before each planning application.

## 4. Planning Application LW/21/0660 – 83-89 Sutton Road

Redevelopment of the site to form 37no. retirement apartments including communal facilities, access, car parking and landscaping for Churchill Retirement Living.

To consider report 112/21 of the Planning Officer on this application (pages 6 to 11).

## 5. Planning Applications LW/21/0705 & /0712 - 3 Homefield Road

Lower ground floor, ground floor and first floor extension including internal alterations at first floor Mr J Palmer.

To consider report 113/21 of the Planning Officer on these applications (pages 12 to 13).

## 6. Other Planning Applications

LDC Planning Applications received in week commencing Monday 20<sup>th</sup> September 2021 **LW/21/0587 - 32 Coxwell Close** 

Garage conversion to include; replacement of garage door with window, replacement of rear door with window and 2no rooflights for Mr and Mrs Easton.

LDC Planning Applications received in week commencing Monday 27<sup>th</sup> September 2021

#### LW/21/0746 -10 Meads Road

Remove 2no existing outbuildings and entrance canopy, erection of single-storey side extension for Mr and Mrs Philpott.

LDC Planning Applications received in week commencing Monday 4<sup>th</sup> October 2021

#### LW/21/0529 - 2C Brooklyn Road

Demolition of existing workshop and garages, erection of a two-storey building sub-divided into 2no 1-bedroom flats for Ms C Saxby.

#### LW/21/0756 - Avondale Hotel 4/5 Avondale Road

Single-storey rear extension to an existing HMO to provide a one-bedroom flat for Mr N Moffett.

#### LW/21/0760 & LW/21/0761 - Twyn Cottage 3 Blatchington Road

Planning and Listed Building Consent for garage conversion and erection of outbuilding for Mr Hillman.

LDC Planning Applications received in week commencing Monday 11<sup>th</sup> October 2021 **LW/21/0765 - 10 Lucinda Way** 

Single storey side extension for M Smith.

# 7. <u>Proposed Road Closures – Remembrance Sunday & Seaford Street</u> Markets

To consider report 114/21 on applications for temporary road closures for:-

- a) Remembrance Sunday Sunday November 14th and;
- b) Seaford Saturday Street Markets January to April 2022 (pages 14 to 15)

### 8. Update Report

To consider report 115/21 of the Planning Officer and the schedule of recent decisions made in September and October by Lewes District Council on applications previously considered by this Committee (pages 16 to 21).

#### **AGENDA NOTES**

For further information about items on this Agenda please contact:

Adam Chugg, Town Clerk, 37 Church Street, Seaford, East Sussex, BN25 1HG

Email: admin@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk

Telephone: 01323 894 870 (please note that due to working from home, this phone line is not currently manned, so please leave a voice message and this will be picked up and forwarded to the relevant member of staff to deal with)

#### Circulation:

All Town Councillors, Young Mayor, Deputy Young Mayor and registered email recipients.

#### Public Access:

Members of the public looking to access this meeting will be able to do so by:

1. Attending the meeting in person.

Due to health and safety restrictions, the number of public in attendance will be limited (exact number to be confirmed). The Town Council therefore asks that you contact <a href="mailto:georgia.raeburn@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk">georgia.raeburn@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk</a> or 01323 894 870 to register your interest in attending at least 24 hours before the meeting.

Spaces will be assigned on a first come, first served basis.

Please note that if you don't register and just attempt to turn up at the meeting, this could result in you not being able to attend if there is no space.

OR

2. Watching the recording of the meeting on the <u>Town Council's YouTube channel</u>, which will be uploaded after the meeting has taken place.

#### Public Access to the Venue:

If you are attending the meeting in person, <u>please arrive for 6.55pm</u> where you will be shown into the meeting for a 7.00pm start.

#### Public Participation:

Members of the public looking to participate in the public participation section of the meeting must do so in person, by making a verbal statement during the public participation section of the meeting.

Below are some key points for public participation in the meeting:

- 1. Your statement should be regarding business on the agenda for that meeting.
- 2. You will only be able to speak at a certain point of the meeting; the Chair of the meeting will indicate when this is.
- 3. You do not have to state your name if you don't want to.
- 4. If you are unsure of when best to speak, either query this with an officer/councillor ahead of the meeting or raise your hand during the public participation item of the meeting and ask the Chair they will always be happy to advise.
- 5. When the Chair has indicated that it is the part of the meeting that allows public participation, raise your hand and the Chair will invite you to speak in order.
- 6. Statements by members of the public are limited to four minutes and you don't automatically have the right to reply. The Chair may have to cut you short if you overrun on time or try to speak out of turn this is just to ensure the meeting stays on track.
- 7. Where required, the Town Council will try to provide a response to your statement but if it is unable to do so at the meeting, may respond in writing following the meeting.
- 8. Members of the public should not speak at other points of the meeting.
- 9. A summarised version of your statement, but no personal details, will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

#### Public Comments

Members of the public looking to submit comments on any item of business on the agenda can do so in writing ahead of the meeting and this will be circulated to all committee members. Comments can be submitted by email to

planning@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk or by post to the Town Council offices.

#### **Covid-safety Measures:**

While Covid restrictions are no longer mandated, in most situations, the Town Council wishes to stay vigilant and mindful of the health and safety of its meeting participants by continuing to observe the following:

- Do not attend the meeting if you are displaying any Covid-19 symptoms; a high temperature, a new continuous cough or a loss or change to your sense of smell or taste (source <u>NHS website</u>); or if there is any reason to believe you have been in contact with someone with Covid-19.
- 2. You are encouraged to wear a mask at the meeting, in particular when moving around the venue.
- 3. The Town Council would encourage anyone attending the meeting in person to have carried out a rapid lateral flow coronavirus test before attending. These are tests taken at home, giving you an instant result, and ordered for free from the <a href="Gov.uk">Gov.uk</a> <a href="Website">website</a> or by calling 119 (open 7am to 11pm, calls are free).
- 4. If you test positive, do not attend the meeting; you will need to self-isolate immediately and follow the advice on the <a href="NHS website">NHS website</a>.
- 5. Touch as few shared surfaces as possible when at the meeting and bring your own supplies (reusable water bottles, pens, tissues, copies of agendas etc).



Report No:	112/21
Agenda Item No:	4
Committee:	Planning & Highways Committee
Date:	21st October 2021
Title:	Planning Application LW/21/0660 83-89 Sutton Road
By:	Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer
Purpose of Report:	To provide the Committee with details of application LW/21/0660

#### Recommendations

#### The Planning & Highways Committee is recommended:

1.To consider planning application LW/21/0660 and the supporting information within this report and forward any comments to Lewes District Council.

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Application LW/21/0660 for the redevelopment of the 83-89 (odds) Sutton Road to form 37 no. retirement apartments including communal facilities, access, car parking and landscaping was submitted by Churchill Retirement Living and validated on 15<sup>th</sup> September 2021.
- 1.2 For a major application such as this the first stage in the process is normally a formal request to the Planning Authority (locally, Lewes District Council) for pre-application advice on the proposals.
- 1.3 There was a pre-application submission in this case in late May 2021 but after being notified by Lewes District Council that it could take up to four months to respond, the applicants pressed ahead with the application without the pre-application advice. The reason given was simply that the applicants obligations would not allow for a delay of this length. No other details were given.

- 1.4 It is unfortunate that there is no pre-application advice to use as guidance in this case as it would have been helpful if Lewes District Council had identified and commented on what it regarded as the main issues.
- 1.5 It is also unfortunate that, although the applicants claim to have carried out a pre-application survey by letter of the residents in the area, the Town Council itself was not consulted.

## 2. The Application

- **2.1** Having reviewed the application documents, the following comments are presented to Committee;-
- 2.2 MASSING and STREET SCENE -
- 2.3 The 37 new apartments (24 one bed and 13 two bed) are laid out in three blocks of two and three storeys with two-storey links, which form a continuous frontage as opposed to the current frontage of four two storey detached houses with generous gaps between. There is therefore a significant increase in 'massing' with the central block being a storey higher than the current properties although the two ends of the block would taper down to the existing height of the adjacent property at no 81. The appearance would be more dominant than the block of sheltered flats opposite the site.
- **2.4** The character of Sutton Road is mainly of attractive detached houses on generous plots but the area has no special designation.
- 2.5 The proposed building projects deep in the site, close to the rear boundary, over what is currently open gardens. There will therefore be a significant increase in site coverage. This backland development and the proximity of the western boundary of the main frontage are bound to have an impact on 81 Sutton Road and the enjoyment of gardens of properties to the rear in Sutton Drove/Mill Drive.
- 2.6 TRAFFIC MOVEMENTS -
- 2.7 The estimate for the current daily traffic movements from the four houses is a total of 22. For the proposed block of 37 apartments the estimate is 78. The increase will therefore be 57. The A259 is a busy road but the increase in movements will be spread over the whole day and it is unlikely that the Highway Authority will regard the increase as a problem.

#### 2.8 AFFORDABLE HOUSING -

- 2.9 The Lewes District Council Local Plan Core Policy 1 requires all developments of over 10 units to provide 40% affordable housing or, in exceptional circumstances, where the units cannot be provided 'on-site', a financial contribution towards provision in another location.
- 2.10 In specialist accommodation for over-60s it has usually been accepted that a financial contribution is an appropriate way of meeting the policy obligations. Churchill has recently opened a block of 31 apartments, Neville Lodge, on South Coast Road at Peacehaven following the grant of consent under ref LW/16/0841. The site was formerly Peacehaven Police Station.
- 2.11 As members will be aware from the background to the Newlands development, developers can avoid the full requirement of the 40% affordable housing provision by showing that the development would not be viable if the policy were fully enforced. Viability is measured by the ability of the scheme to provide a 20% profit for the developers.
- 2.12 In the Peacehaven case, Churchill submitted a viability report and initially offered around £50,000 towards affordable housing provision. Following an appraisal of the viability report by the District Valuer and further negotiations, this offer was increased, in stages, to £300,000 and this sum was subsequently secured by a s.106 agreement and paid once consent had been granted in March 2017.
- 2.13 Churchill has submitted a recent appeal decision from 2020 to back up its case regarding the need for this type of retirement accommodation. The successful appeal concerned a scheme for a 31-unit block in Fleet, Hampshire also, coincidentally, on the site of a redundant Police Station. In that case Churchill provided a s.106 agreement at the hearing to secure an affordable housing payment of £500,000.
- 2.14 In the current application, Churchill has submitted a viability report which concludes that if the 20% profit required is to be secured no financial contribution for affordable housing can be made This contradicts the assurance given in para 5.79 of the Planning Statement that :-
  - (a) An Affordable Housing and Viability Statement is submitted in support of this application which identifies the total level of affordable housing that the site could contribute. The applicant would be happy to discuss

how best such a sum should be used to deliver affordable housing units.

- 2.15 Unless it is proposed that the issue of a contribution is still subject to negotiation, it is assumed that this statement is superseded by the viability report.
- 2.16 The viability report uses a formula based on the existing use value of the site in question and the revenue from sales and other sums added for e.g. building, marketing, and legal costs. As the existing use of the site is high value residential, it is not surprising that the overall requirement to produce 20% profit squeezed out the possibility of any additional payments out other than any applicable compulsory Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) payments.
- 2.17 The failure to offer any affordable housing contribution is however at odds with not only the precedents set above but also the Government's Planning Practice Guidance stating that :-
  - (a) Under no circumstances will the price paid for land be a relevant justification for failing to accord with relevant policies in the plan.
- **2.18** This is reiterated in the Planning Practice Guidance a number of times.
- 2.19 The fact that in this case the viability assessment may have followed all the relevant advice and guidance and taken into account all the relevant factors does not alter the fact that the estimated purchase price of the four properties making up the site is the main background reason why the developers have submitted that no contribution can be made under the local plan policy.
- 2.20 As mentioned above, the contribution to the Peacehaven scheme was increased to £300,000 following an appraisal of the viability report by the District Valuer. The method of establishing viability is highly technical and can only be carried out/appraised by a specialist valuer. Town Council officers have suggested to Lewes District Council that it would be difficult to assess this application without an expert appraisal of the viability report and have asked it to confirm that a report will be commissioned.
- **2.21** It may be arguable that the proposals do not comply with the affordable housing policy in the Local Plan.

#### 2.22 HOUSING NEED -

- 2.23 The additional units would assist the District Council in meeting its increased annual requirement for new dwellings, providing a net 33 units. The Committee in the recent response to the Issues and Options consultation on the new Local Plan called for more of this type of accommodation for the elderly to be provided.
- 2.24 Statistics also show an ever-increasing percentage of the population as in the over-65s category so there should be an increasing demand for this type of accommodation.
- 2.25 INFRASTRUCTURE -
- 2.26 There have been concerns since the Newlands development was approved that local health services could be overstretched by the number of new residents occupying new developments in the town. In this case the applicant concedes that, although local health services will be required, the provision of care and welfare services 'in-house' and the increase in the general well-being of the residents will prove a financial saving for the NHS.
- 2.27 DESIGN -
- **2.28** At para 5.19 of the Planning Statement it is confirmed that the scheme has been designed in line with pre-application discussions but elsewhere in the Statement it is confirmed that no pre-application discussions took place.
- 2.29 The Statement confirms that the facing materials have been carefully chosen to reflect the palette used in the area while ensuring their quality including, red brick, white and off-white render, natural stone and tile hanging. There is however no reference to the AECOM Design Guidelines for Seaford which are part of the Seaford Neighbourhood Plan and therefore carry due weight in the process.
- 2.30 For Seaford East, the Guidelines state that buildings on the main road in this area should tend to have the same heights to form a strong building line with a mixture of small and large set-backs and some generous front gardens. How the building fits in with the Guidelines looking at the massing, the general design and the proposed materials is largely a matter of opinion.
- 2.31 SUSTAINABILITY -
- **2.32** The fact that the building is on a bus route with regular buses to the town centre, health centres and the railway station should be taken into account.

- **2.33** Walking distances to local shops and services are however, at around 500 600m, slightly longer than 'a convenient distance'.
- 2.34 On other issues, the Sustainability Report confirms that the scheme will incorporate the latest developments in energy efficiency and low carbon emissions although the reference to the Churchill development in Chilwell Road (at Beeston, Nottingham) indicates that the report may be generic rather than specific to the Sutton Road scheme.
- 2.35 CONCLUSION -
- 2.36 Town Council officers are not making any specific recommendations as to the Committee's response to the application but hopefully the information in the report will assist members in coming to their decision

**3.1** There are no direct financial implications as a result of this report.

#### 4. Contact Officer

Planning Officer	GJ8ma
Town Clerk	A M



Report No:	113/21
Agenda Item No:	5
Committee:	Planning & Highways Committee
Date:	21st October 2021
Title:	Planning Application LW/21/0705 & 0712
	3 Homefield Road
Ву:	Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer
Purpose of Report:	To provide the Committee with details of application
	LW/21/0705 & 0712

#### Recommendations

#### The Planning & Highways Committee is recommended:

1.To consider two separate planning applications, LW/21/0705 & 0712, and the supporting information within this report and forward any comments to Lewes District Council.

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 This report is intended to assist members in responding to the latest applications submitted in respect of 3 Homefield Road; <a href="LW/21/0705"><u>LW/21/0705</u></a> and <a href="LW/21/0712"><u>LW/21/0712</u></a>.
- 1.2 These two applications are both for lower ground floor, ground floor and first floor extensions. The scale is similar in both cases but there are differences in design notably the inclusion of turrets in the /0712 scheme.
- 1.3 There is no mention in either submission of the numerous other schemes which have been submitted for approval this year and the reasoning behind these latest applications. The current schemes are the fifth and sixth submissions in all and have clearly been scaled down in order to overcome the grounds of refusal of the 'final amendment' scheme, the third of three submitted under LW/21/0278.

- 1.4 The refusal reasons relate principally to the impact on the street scene and the adjoining Listed Building and East Blatchington Conservation Area of the replacement garage and boundary wall. These elements of the previous schemes have now been withdrawn and the problem of possible adverse impact on no.5 Homefield Road from overlooking and loss of privacy has been 'softened' by the removal of the facing windows. The massing and scale of the extensions and their 'overbearing' impact on no.5 which was highlighted in the consultation response from the Design and Conservation Officer is, however, still a relevant issue.
- 1.5 As regards the turrets, they are clearly favoured by the applicant and they replicate a prominent feature of Edwardian architecture. Members will need to make their own subjective judgement on the impact of the turrets on the street scene in this case.
- 1.6 Two applications are submitted and will need to be considered separately.
  They are not alternative options so it is not a question of making a choice between the two.
- **1.7** Any other queries regarding the applications can be covered at the meeting.

**2.1** There are no direct financial implications as a result of this report.

#### 3. Contact Officer

Planning Officer	GJ8ma
Town Clerk	AMD



Report No:	114/21
Agenda Item No:	7
Committee:	Planning & Highways Committee
Date:	21st October 2021
Title:	Proposed Road Closures – Remembrance Sunday &
	Seaford Street Markets
Ву:	Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer
Purpose of Report:	To provide details of two temporary road closure
	requests

#### Recommendations

The Planning & Highways Committee is recommended:

1.To consider the applications and forward any comments to Lewes District Council.

#### 1. Introduction

**1.1** Lewes District Council has received applications for a temporary road closures for the events referred to above.

## 1.2 Remembrance Sunday

1.3 The closure requested for Seaford Remembrance covers the following roads between 10.00 and 11.40 on Sunday 14<sup>th</sup> November:- A259 Clinton Place roundabout to junction with Southdown Road; Broad Street from junction with Clinton Place to junction with Sutton Road; Place Lane from junction with Church Street to junction with Broad Street, and; Avondale Road around the War Memorial.

#### 1.4 Seaford Street Markets

1.5 The closure requested for the street markets covers:- Church Street from the junction with West Street to outside the Crypt Gallery from 07.00 to

- 1700 on 8<sup>th</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> January 2022, 12<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> February 2022, 12<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> March 2022, 9<sup>th</sup> and 23<sup>rd</sup> April 2022 (all Saturdays).
- **1.6** Members are requested to consider the applications and forward any comments to Lewes District Council.

**2.1** There are no direct financial implications as a result of this report.

## 3. Contact Officer

Planning Officer	GJ8ma
Town Clerk	AMA



Report No:	115/21
Agenda Item No:	8
Committee:	Planning & Highways Committee
Date:	21 <sup>st</sup> October 2021
Title:	Update Report
Ву:	Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer
Purpose of Report:	To notify the Committee of decisions taken by
	Lewes District Council on applications previously
	considered by the Committee

Recommendations
The Planning & Highways Committee is recommended:
1.To note the report and the decisions.

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 The attached schedule lists the decisions taken by Lewes District Council in September and October on applications previously considered by the Committee.
- **1.2** There is confirmation of the decision at the end of each entry. If the application is marked as 'APPROVED' it can be assumed that it was determined in line with the Town Council's response.
- 1.3 It should also be mentioned that, at Lewes District Council's Planning Applications Committee on Wednesday 6<sup>th</sup> October, application LW/19/0656 for the erection of a block of 13 apartments on the site of 6 Steyne Road was refused. The officer's report had recommended approval of the application. When the application came before this Committee on 31<sup>st</sup> October 2019, it was resolved to object on the grounds that the proposed block would have a detrimental impact on the character and

- setting of the Steyne Road properties opposite the site which were within the Town Centre Conservation Area.
- 1.4 Following the initial consultations on the application it was put 'on hold' pending amendments to the plans. Revised plans were submitted earlier this year but there was no formal consultation. However the Committee's previous objections were resubmitted to Lewes District Council.
- **1.5** The Town Clerk and Steyne Road residents attended the Lewes District Council meeting and addressed members in support of the objections.
- **1.6** The Committee is recommended to note this update report and the decisions set out in the Schedule.

**2.1** There are no direct financial implications as a result of this report.

#### 3. Contact Officer

Planning Officer	GJ8ma
Town Clerk	AMA

## Report 115-21 Appendix A

#### SCHEDULE OF LDC DECISIONS – SEPTEMBER and OCTOBER 2021

#### **First-floor front extension**

#### 46 Kingsmead Seaford East Sussex BN25 2HA

Ref. No: LW/21/0653 | Received: Thu 12 Aug 2021 | Validated: Thu 12 Aug 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

single-storey rear extension with roof terrace

#### 2 Firle Drive Seaford East Sussex BN25 2HT

Ref. No: LW/21/0644 | Received: Tue 10 Aug 2021 | Validated: Tue 10 Aug 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

demolition of existing conservatory and erection of single storey rear extension

#### 27 Richington Way Seaford East Sussex BN25 3HT

Ref. No: LW/21/0633 | Received: Mon 02 Aug 2021 | Validated: Tue 03 Aug 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

• <u>single-storey lower ground floor rear extension with extended balcony</u> and conservatory above

#### 50 Chichester Road Seaford East Sussex BN25 2DL

Ref. No: LW/21/0590 | Received: Tue 20 Jul 2021 | Validated: Wed 11 Aug 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED. NOT CONSIDERED BY THE COMMITTEE

single-storey rear extension with associated decking and steps

#### 15 Kimberley Road Seaford East Sussex BN25 2QG

Ref. No: LW/21/0577 | Received: Thu 15 Jul 2021 | Validated: Thu 15 Jul 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

An illuminated (retro) barbers pole, in keeping with the industrial era and time of the street

#### 32A High Street Seaford East Sussex BN25 1PL

Ref. No: LW/21/0502 | Received: Wed 23 Jun 2021 | Validated: Wed 11 Aug 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

• <u>erection of single storey side extension with internal</u> reconfigurations & associated hard/soft landscaping

#### 6 Sovereign Close Seaford East Sussex BN25 3EP

Ref. No: LW/21/0460 | Received: Mon 14 Jun 2021 | Validated: Mon 14 Jun 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

single-storey rear extension and partial garage conversion

#### 63 Maple Fields Seaford East Sussex BN25 3ER

Ref. No: LW/21/0676 | Received: Thu 19 Aug 2021 | Validated: Fri 20 Aug 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

erection of front open porch

#### 14 Kedale Road Seaford East Sussex BN25 2BY

Ref. No: LW/21/0659 | Received: Fri 13 Aug 2021 | Validated: Fri 13 Aug 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

single-storey rear extension for ancillary retail use

#### 31 Broad Street Seaford East Sussex BN25 1LS

Ref. No: LW/21/0639 | Received: Thu 05 Aug 2021 | Validated: Wed 11 Aug 2021 | Status: Decided REFUSED as per OBJECTION FROM STC – ADVERSE IMPACT ON ADJACENT CONSERVATION AREA AND LISTED BUILDING

single-storey rear extension and two-storey side extension

#### 8 Holters Way Seaford East Sussex BN25 3HS

Ref. No: LW/21/0626 | Received: Fri 30 Jul 2021 | Validated: Tue 17 Aug

2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

Garage conversion and window to side/west elevation

#### 20 Barn Rise Seaford East Sussex BN25 3BY

Ref. No: LW/21/0320 | Received: Tue 04 May 2021 | Validated: Mon 19 Jul 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED

• removal of two parking spaces in the car park and installation of a cycle shelter with two tier cycle rack

Seaford Railway Station Station Approach Seaford East Sussex BN25 2AR Ref. No: LW/21/0413 | Received: Tue 01 Jun 2021 | Validated: Wed 21 Jul 2021 | Status: Decided APPROVED – OBJECTION FROM STC - REASON FOR APPROVAL – BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL CYCLE PARKING OUTWEIGH THE ADVERSE IMPACT OF LOSS OF DISABLED SPACES AS THERE ARE OTHER SUITABLE PARKING SPACES AVAILABLE IN THE AREA.