



## Seaford Town Council

### **Seaford Town Council Planning & Highways Agenda – Thursday 16<sup>th</sup> February 2023**

#### **To the Members of the Planning & Highways Committee**

Councillors L Wallraven (Chair), L Boorman (Vice Chair), D Argent, J Edson, M Everden, R Honeyman and J Lord.

A meeting of the **Planning & Highways Committee** will be held in the **Council Chambers, 37 Church Street, Seaford, BN25 1HG** on **Thursday 16<sup>th</sup> February 2023** at 7.00pm, which you are summoned to attend.

Adam Chugg

Town Clerk

8<sup>th</sup> February 2023

- **Public attendance at this meeting will be limited to 28 due to the size of the meeting, so public will need to register to guarantee a place.**
- **The meeting will be video recorded and uploaded to the Town Council's YouTube channel after the meeting.**
- **See the end of the agenda for further details of public access and participation.**

### **AGENDA**

#### **1. Apologies for Absence**

To consider apologies for absence.

#### **2. Disclosure of Interests**

To deal with any disclosure by Members of any disclosable pecuniary interests and interests other than pecuniary interests, as defined under the Seaford Town Council Code of Conduct and the Localism Act 2011, in relation to matters on the agenda.

#### **3. Public Participation**

To deal with any questions, or brief representations, from members of the public in accordance with relevant legislation and Seaford Town Council Policy.

In accordance with Town Council policy, members of the public wishing to speak on individual planning applications may do so immediately before each planning application.

#### **4. Planning Applications**

LDC Planning Applications received in week commencing Monday 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2023

None

LDC Planning Applications received in week commencing Monday 9<sup>th</sup> January 2023

None

LDC Planning Applications received in week commencing Monday 16<sup>th</sup> January 2023

[LW/22/0819](#) **5 Fairways Close** - Front infill extension replacing existing garage - Mr & Mrs Toynbee.

[LW/22/0800](#) **8 Barcombe Avenue** - Replace conservatory with single storey rear extension, rear juliet balcony and alterations to rear & side fenestration - Mr J Mockler.

[LW/23/0004](#) **Clifton, Dean Road** – Front and Side extension of garage – Mr & Mrs G Brown.

LDC Planning Applications received in week commencing Monday 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2023

[LW/22/0844](#) **117 North Way** – Removal of existing rear conservatory, erection of single storey rear extension and single storey infill side extension, with extension to porch at front elevation and alterations to fenestration at side elevation – Mr & Mrs Osler.

[LW/23/0046](#) **31 Broad Street** - Single storey roof extension to provide 2nd floor level containing 3 flats (Resubmission of Approval LW/20/0086)- Mr A Gunne-Jones.

[LW/23/0033](#) and [/0034](#) **Fitzgerald House, Croft Lane** (Planning & Listed Building Consent) - Upgrade communal store rooms and sub-divide, change non-original plain doors to 2 and 4 panel plain doors, install roof access hatches, install skylights to external stores & Flat 10 kitchen roof, replace reinforced concrete roof to Flat 10 kitchen with insulated timber/zinc roof, replace Block Two roof & match Block One roof (LW/21/1001 & LW/21/1002), replace cast iron rainwater goods like for like on Blocks Two & Three, replace all external lighting, replace main entrance doors to Block Two & Three, install 2 no. matching finials to Block Three, upgrade works to Flats 10, 11, 12 & 14 – Mr C Grimble.

[LW/23/0037](#) **6 Station Road** - Alterations of roof to the rear to facilitate the creation of a single storey ground floor side and rear wrap around extension to join the existing detached garage, first floor gable end roof extension to rear, alteration to fenestration – Mrs K Watts.

[LW/23/0036](#) **‘Rosecroft’ 42 Firle Road** - Single storey side and rear extension containing garage and swimming pool, single storey side extension to connect to detached double garage, to coincide with previous works approved under LW/17/0837 and LW/17/0321 – J Yap.

LDC Planning Applications received in week commencing Monday 6<sup>th</sup> February

[LW/23/0059](#) **4 Church Street** - Removal of an internal partition wall to form shower space within bathroom, retention of internal alterations including addition of a sauna in the basement, addition of shower space to ground floor bathroom and addition of ensuite bathroom on first floor – Mr M Bryon.

Tree Works Applications

[TW/22/0112/TPO](#) **Homesore House, Sutton Road** - T1 - Sycamore - Crown reduction by 2m to allow natural sunlight and prevent possible damage – Ms C Jenner.

[TW/23/0004/TPO](#) **5 St Marys Close** - G1 - Sycamore - Crown reduction of 30%, remove deadwood & lift up to 5 metres to improve natural light – Miss M O’Leary.

## **5. Appeal Outcomes – 83-89 Sutton Road, Churchill Retirement Living**

To consider report 157/22 advising the Committee of the outcome of appeals by Churchill Retirement Living regarding the proposed developments of a block of 36/37 retirement apartments at 83-89 Sutton Road, Seaford (pages 6 to 10).

## **6. Update on Lewes District Council Decision: LW/22/0286 - 60 Bed Care Home at Florence House, Southdown Road**

To consider report 161/22 updating the Committee on Lewes District Council’s refusal of application LW/22/0286 (pages 11 to 12).

## **7. Road Closure Applications - Coronation Street Parties**

To consider report 162/22 presenting details of proposed road closures applications for Coronation street parties for this Committee to comment on (pages 13 to 14).

## **8. Government Consultation on Proposed Amendments to the National Planning Policy Framework**

To consider report 156/22 presenting details of the proposed amendments to the National Planning Policy Framework (pages 15 to 17).

## **9. Update Report**

To consider report 153/22 reporting on decisions taken by Lewes District Council since the last meeting on applications previously considered by the Committee (pages 18 to 22).

## AGENDA NOTES

For further information about items on this Agenda please contact:

Adam Chugg, Town Clerk, 37 Church Street, Seaford, East Sussex, BN25 1HG

Email: [meetings@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk](mailto:meetings@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk)

Telephone: 01323 894 870

### Circulation:

All Town Councillors, Young Mayor, Deputy Young Mayor and registered email recipients.

### Public Access:

Members of the public looking to access this meeting will be able to do so by:

1. Attending the meeting in person.

The Town Council asks that you contact [meetings@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk](mailto:meetings@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk) or 01323 894 870 to register your interest in attending at least 24 hours before the meeting.

Spaces will be assigned on a first come, first served basis.

Please note that if you don't register and just attempt to turn up at the meeting, this could result in you not being able to attend if there is no space.

OR

2. Watching the recording of the meeting on the [Town Council's YouTube channel](#) , which will be uploaded after the meeting has taken place.

### Public Access to the Venue:

If you are attending the meeting in person, please arrive for 6.55pm where you will be shown into the meeting for a 7.00pm start.

Please note that the front door of the building will be locked at 7.00pm and remain locked during the meeting for security reasons. As such, if you arrive after this time, you will not be able to access the meeting.

When members of the public are looking to leave, they must be escorted out of the building by a Town Council officer. There is also a signposted back door which can be exited through if required.

### Public Participation:

Members of the public looking to participate in the public participation section of the meeting must do so in person, by making a verbal statement during the public participation section of the meeting.

Below are some key points for public participation in the meeting:

1. Your statement should be regarding business on the agenda for that meeting.

2. You will only be able to speak at a certain point of the meeting; the Chair of the meeting will indicate when this is.
3. You do not have to state your name if you don't want to.
4. If you are unsure of when best to speak, either query this with an officer/councillor ahead of the meeting or raise your hand during the public participation item of the meeting and ask the Chair – they will always be happy to advise.
5. When the Chair has indicated that it is the part of the meeting that allows public participation, raise your hand and the Chair will invite you to speak in order.
6. Statements by members of the public are limited to four minutes and you don't automatically have the right to reply. The Chair may have to cut you short if you overrun on time or try to speak out of turn – this is just to ensure the meeting stays on track.
7. Where required, the Town Council will try to provide a response to your statement but if it is unable to do so at the meeting, may respond in writing following the meeting.
8. Members of the public should not speak at other points of the meeting.
9. A summarised version of your statement, but no personal details, will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

#### Public Comments

Members of the public looking to submit comments on any item of business on the agenda can do so in writing ahead of the meeting and this will be circulated to all committee members. Comments can be submitted by email to

[planning@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk](mailto:planning@seafordtowncouncil.gov.uk) or by post to the Town Council offices.

#### Health & Safety Measures:

While Covid restrictions are no longer mandated the Town Council wishes to stay vigilant and mindful of the health and safety of its meeting participants by upholding the requirement that you should not attend the meeting if you are displaying any Covid-19 symptoms (or have tested positive) as identified on the [NHS website](#) or symptoms of any similarly contagious illness.



## Seaford Town Council

<b>Report No:</b>	156/22
<b>Agenda Item No:</b>	5
<b>Committee:</b>	Planning & Highways
<b>Date:</b>	16 <sup>th</sup> February 2023
<b>Title:</b>	Appeal Outcomes - 83-89 Sutton Road, Churchill Retirement Living
<b>By:</b>	Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer
<b>Purpose of Report:</b>	To advise the committee of the outcome of appeals by Churchill Retirement Living regarding the proposed developments of a block of 36/37 retirement apartments at 83-89 Sutton Road, Seaford.

### Recommendations

#### The Committee is recommended:

1. To note the contents of the report

## 1. Information

- 1.1 At the hearing on 9<sup>th</sup> November, the Inspector considered both appeals submitted by the appellants in respect of the site at 83-89 Sutton Road: Appeal A in respect of the 37-unit scheme and Appeal B in respect of the slightly modified 36-unit scheme .
- 1.2 The decision was issued on 12<sup>th</sup> December.
- 1.3 Appeal A was dismissed but Appeal B was allowed subject to conditions.

## 2. The Main Issues

- 2.1 The main issues identified by the Inspector were:-
  - a) the effect of the proposed development on the character and appearance of the area;

- b) the effect of the proposed development on the living conditions of the neighbouring occupiers of No 81 Sutton Road and the properties to the rear of the site with regard to privacy, outlook, light and noise;
  - c) whether the proposal would make adequate provision for refuse collection.
- 2.2** On the first issue (2.1.a above), the Inspector concluded that in the Appeal A scheme the central element of the proposed building would have a three-storey appearance that would unacceptably dominate the street scene and appear discordant.
- 2.3** In the Appeal B scheme however, the various modifications introduced e.g the lowering of the roof pitch of the central element and the inclusion of chimney stacks and tile hanging, would, in their opinion, add visual interest and contribute to the harmonious integration of the development into the street
- 2.4** The Inspector's conclusions were therefore that the scheme subject of Appeal A would have an unacceptable impact on the character and appearance of the area and the harm arising from the conflict with the development plan policies would be significant. The effect of the scheme subject of Appeal B on the character and appearance of the area would be more limited and localised. As such, the harm arising from the impact of the Appeal B scheme with the development plan policies would be less significant.
- 2.5** For these reasons, on the first of the three issues the Inspector concluded that Appeal A should be dismissed and Appeal B allowed.
- 2.6** On the second issue (2.1.b above), the Inspector analysed the impact of the proposed building on the neighbouring property at no 81 and of the rear projection and increased use of the garden on all the surrounding properties.
- 2.7** The Inspector concluded that the proposed developments would not harm the living conditions of the neighbouring occupiers of No 81 or the properties to the rear of the site with regard to privacy, outlook, light and noise. Therefore, they would not conflict with Development Management Plan (DMP) policies DM25 and DM30 which together seek, among other things, development that would result in no unacceptable adverse impact

on the amenities of neighbouring properties in terms of privacy, outlook, daylight, sunlight, noise, odour, light intrusion, or activity levels.

- 2.8** On the third issue (2.1.c above), the Inspector concluded that there would be adequate provision for refuse collection

### **3. Other Issues**

- 3.1** Overall, in response to other matters raised in the objections, the Inspector concluded that the parking provision would be adequate and that little weight could be put on the effect on local health services of 33 or so additional residents, as some would inevitably be local residents relocating.
- 3.2** Although this was a major concern of many residents and the subject of forceful representations at the hearing, it still has to be accepted that until the planning rules are changed, little weight can be placed on the impact of any new development on the health infrastructure in the area.
- 3.3** However, since the appeal decision was issued, the Government has published a statement introducing proposals for consultation aimed at amending the National Planning Policy Framework to meet public concerns over housing delivery. The statement refers to *“commitments to building enough of the right homes in the right places with the right infrastructure, ensuring the environment is protected and giving local people a greater say on where and where not to place new, beautiful development.”*
- 3.4** These proposals are set out for comment in a report elsewhere on this Agenda.

### **4. Planning Policy Issues and Conclusions**

- 4.1** In policy terms, the crucial factor which led to the Appeal B scheme being allowed was the fact that local and neighbourhood plan policies, which could have led to the rejection of both schemes, were ‘trumped’ by the fact that Lewes District Council only has a 2.7 year supply of housing land available, considerably short of the requisite 5 years. For this reason both plans had to be considered as ‘out-of-date’.
- 4.2** The Inspector’s conclusion was that the bulk and scale of both schemes would cause harm to the street scene and character of the area but that the lesser degree of harm from the modified Scheme B was outweighed by the need to make up the shortfall in housing supply. This is in line with Government policy guidance which has been in place for several years.

Local and Neighbourhood Plan policies throughout the country are regularly being side-lined in favour of new housing where the housing land supply is deficient. The Government's proposals to reform planning policy referred to in Para 3.3 also cover this issue

- 4.3** Currently, Lewes District Council is obliged to meet targets which are principally set by housing needs, with very little weight put on the capacity of the district to find sufficient developable land to meet that need. All this emphasises the need for the recently announced reforms to these local housing delivery targets to be implemented as soon as possible

## **5. Financial Appraisal**

- 5.1** There are no direct financial implications of this report.

## **6. Contact Officer**

- 6.1** The Contact Officer for this report is Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer.

Planning Officer	
Town Clerk	



## Seaford Town Council

<b>Report No:</b>	<b>161/22</b>
<b>Agenda Item No:</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Committee:</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Highways</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>9<sup>th</sup> February 2023</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Update on Lewes District Council Decision – LW/22/0286 Proposal for 60 Bed Care Home at Florence House, Southdown Road</b>
<b>By:</b>	<b>Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer</b>
<b>Purpose of Report:</b>	<b>To update the committee on Lewes District Council's refusal of application LW/22/0286</b>

### Recommendations

#### The Committee is recommended:

1. To note the contents of the report and the decision of Lewes District Council

## 1. Information

### Seaford Town Council's Response

- 1.1 Application LW/22/0286 was for the establishment of a new care home in the grounds of Florence House and was considered by this Committee in July 2022.
- 1.2 There were numerous objections to the application from residents. The Committee, at the meeting on 21<sup>st</sup> July 2022, resolved to object to the application on several specific grounds including:-
  - (a) Unacceptable impact on the National Park
  - (b) Impact on Southdown Road
  - (c) Lack of the necessary health infrastructure
  - (d) Lack of need for further care / nursing home accommodation
  - (e) Traffic generation
  - (f) Inappropriate housing mix

### Lewes District Council's Decision

- 1.3 The application was refused by Lewes District Council under delegated powers on 26<sup>th</sup> January 2023 on the following grounds;

*'The development, due to its scale, bulk, mass and positioning would represent an incongruous and visually unsympathetic feature that would disrupt the transition between the urban environment of Seaford to the west and the largely undeveloped downland/heritage coast to the east as well as impact upon the open character of the landscape. It would also negatively impact on views towards and from the South Downs National Park, thereby detracting from the quality and setting of a protected landscape and the level of amenity value it provides for residents of Seaford as well as visitors. The development is therefore in conflict with LLP1 policy CP10, LLP2 policies DM25 and DM27, para. 176 of the NPPF and SNP policies SEA1 and SEA2.*

*Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate that the development could incorporate adequate measures to manage surface water discharge within the layout and parameters of the submitted scheme. The development would therefore have the potential to increase surface water flood risk within the site, to the detriment of future occupants, as well as on neighbouring land, including the public highway. The development is therefore in conflict with LLP1 policies CP10 and CP12, LLP2 policy DM22, para. 167 of the NPPF.'*

### Appeals



- 1.4 Any appeal submitted against the refusal will be reported to the Committee

## **2. Financial Appraisal**

- 2.1 There are no direct financial implications of this report.

## **3. Contact Officer**

- 3.1 The Contact Officer for this report is Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer.

Planning Officer	
Town Clerk	



## Seaford Town Council

<b>Report No:</b>	<b>162/22</b>
<b>Agenda Item No:</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Committee:</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Highways</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>9<sup>th</sup> February 2023</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Road Closure Applications – Coronation Street Parties</b>
<b>By:</b>	<b>Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer</b>
<b>Purpose of Report:</b>	<b>To present details of proposed road closures applications for Coronation street parties for this Committee to comment on</b>

### Recommendations

#### **The Committee is recommended:**

1. To note the contents of the report and forward any comments on the application to Lewes District Council

### 1. Information

- 1.1 Lewes District Council received an application or the temporary closure of two local streets, High Street and Chichester Road, for Coronation street parties.
- 1.2 The High Street event, planned for Monday 8<sup>th</sup> May is described as a 'Street Party and volunteer workshops for the local community'.
- 1.3 The section of High Street to be closed runs from Bob's Retro Market at no.32 to the junction with Crouch Lane
- 1.4 The period covered by the proposed closure is 06:00am to 07:00pm.
- 1.5 The Chichester Road party is to be held on Sunday 7<sup>th</sup> May with a closure requested from 10:00am to 07:00pm. The length of the road to be closed runs from the junction with Claremont Road to the junction with Kedale Road.

- 1.6** The Committee is requested to note the contents of the report and consider any comments it may wish to forward to Lewes District Council on these applications.

## **2. Financial Appraisal**

- 2.1** There are no direct financial implications of this report.

## **3. Contact Officer**

- 3.1** The Contact Officer for this report is Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer.

Planning Officer	
Town Clerk	



## Seaford Town Council

<b>Report No:</b>	156/22
<b>Agenda Item No:</b>	8
<b>Committee:</b>	Planning & Highways
<b>Date:</b>	16 <sup>th</sup> February 2023
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Government Consultation on Proposed Amendments to the National Planning Policy Framework</b>
<b>By:</b>	<b>Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer</b>
<b>Purpose of Report:</b>	<b>To consider and comment on the proposed amendments to the National Planning Policy Framework</b>

### Recommendations

#### **The Committee is recommended:**

1. To consider and comment on the issues raised in the report and give authority to the Planning Officer, in consultation with the Committee Chair, to send an appropriate response to the Government.

### 1. Information

- 1.1 The Government has published proposals to amend existing planning policy relating, in particular, to the delivery of new housing. The proposals are likely to be implemented shortly after the consultation period closes on 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2023. They are intended as a speedy response to the recent 'rebellion' of 50 MPs over local housebuilding targets.
- 1.2 The proposed revisions to the NPPF are in advance of a 'fuller' review of the Framework, dependent on the implementation of the Government's proposals for wider change to the planning system, included in the Levelling-up and Regeneration Bill which is currently before Parliament.

## **2. The Objectives**

**2.1** The policy objectives of the proposed amendments are stated as:-

- Building beautiful and refusing ugliness
- Securing the infrastructure needed to support development
- More democratic engagement with communities on local plans
- Better environmental outcomes
- Empowering communities to shape their neighbourhoods
- Sustainable and integrated infrastructure for our communities and our economy

## **3. The Proposals**

**3.1** The proposals themselves fall under several headings but the most relevant to the current housing issues are:-

- Make clear how housing figures should be derived and applied so that communities can respond to local circumstances.
- Address issues in the operation of the housing delivery and land supply test.

**3.2** The housing delivery burden borne by many local planning authorities (LPAs) in the South-East, including Lewes District Council, has attracted criticism from across the political spectrum. The Government does not propose to lower the overall target of 300,000 new homes per annum but has modified some of the penalties which have recently been applied to LPAs for failure to maintain an up-to-date Local Plan and a 5-year supply of available housing land.

**3.3** It is proposed that the standard method for assessing housing needs in each district will be retained but whereas currently meeting that need is a minimum requirement for Local Plans, LPAs will be expected only to meet that need 'as far as possible'.

**3.4** Where there is an up-to-date Local Plan setting out strategic targets, the rolling requirement of 5 years housing supply will no longer apply.

**3.5** Also, there are new tests aimed at easing the burden on LPAs, such as Lewes District Council, where the ability of the area to absorb new housing is

constrained by environmental factors. These areas will not be expected to meet the assessed housing need if it would require 'building at densities significantly out of character with the existing area'.

- 3.6** The amendments also give a boost to the status of neighbourhood plans. Currently, where LPAs strategic policies are out of date, the presumption in favour of sustainable development will apply if any neighbourhood plan (NP) is over two years old. The proposed amendments extend the period of protection given to NPs from two to five years. This should mean that the Seaford NP will continue to be a material consideration in applications until the new Lewes Local Plan is adopted.

#### **4. Conclusions and Recommendation**

- 4.1** The general reaction to these proposals from the large national consultancy firms that advise the large developers is that they will make it more difficult for the Government to achieve its annual 300,000 housing target. This indicates that a planning system which in the past five years or so has been seen as favouring the interests of the developers, is finally losing its emphasis on the issue of rolling housing supply and placing more weight on local environmental concerns.
- 4.2** The Committee is recommended to consider and comment on the issues raised in the report and give authority to the Planning Officer, in consultation with the Committee Chair, to send an appropriate response to the Government.

#### **5. Financial Appraisal**

- 5.1** There are no direct financial implications of this report.

#### **6. Contact Officer**

- 6.1** The Contact Officer for this report is Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer.

Planning Officer	
Town Clerk	



## Seaford Town Council

<b>Report No:</b>	<b>153/22</b>
<b>Agenda Item No:</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Committee:</b>	<b>Planning &amp; Highways</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>16<sup>th</sup> February 2023</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Update Report</b>
<b>By:</b>	<b>Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer</b>
<b>Purpose of Report:</b>	<b>To notify the Committee of decisions taken by Lewes District Council on applications previously considered by the Committee</b>

### Recommendations

#### The Committee is recommended:

1. To note the report and the decisions set out in the Schedule.

## 1. Information

- 1.1** The attached schedule lists the decisions taken by Lewes District Council since the last Committee meeting on applications previously considered by the Committee.
- 1.2** Please be aware that an update on the District Council's appeal outcome relating to 83-89 Sutton Road (the proposed development of a block of 36/37 retirement apartments) appears elsewhere on this agenda.
- 1.3** Application LW/22/0275 for the erection of security fencing at Seaford Head Lower School Steyne Road is to be reported and determined at the LDC Planning Applications Committee on Wednesday 15<sup>th</sup> February. It is recommended for approval. The decision will be reported verbally to this Committee at the meeting.

## 2. Financial Appraisal

- 2.1** There are no direct financial implications of this report.

### 3. Contact Officer

3.1 The Contact Officer for this report is Geoff Johnson, Planning Officer.

Planning Officer	
Town Clerk	

## **Report 153-22 Appendix A**

### **SCHEDULE OF LDC DECISIONS RECEIVED SINCE THE COMMITTEE'S LAST MEETING ON 15<sup>th</sup> DECEMBER 2023**

#### **Approvals – No Objections from STC**

**LW/22/0732** – 46 Richington Way - Part two storey and single storey rear extension with installation of rear balcony.

**LW/22/0805** – Avondale Hotel, Avondale Road - Non-material amendment of application LW/22/0256, alteration to single storey rear extension roof.

**LW/22/0755** – 48 Hartfield Road - Erection of single storey side extension and single storey extension.

**LW/22/0752** – 3 Landsdown Road - Erection of a part two storey side extension and single storey rear extension and roof extension to side.

**LW/22/0748** – 3 Alfriston Road - New driveway and crossover including dropped kerb.

**LW/22/0746** – 22 Stoke Manor Close - Demolish existing rear conservatory and replace with single storey extension.

**LW/22/0815** – 1 Norman Close - Single storey rear extension and side extension (Resubmission of LW/22/0630).

**LW/22/0811** – 5 Manor Road LW/22/0799 - Single storey side extension with 2no. roof lights.

**LW/22/0799** – 29 Sutton Avenue - Garage conversion with single storey side/rear infill extension and 2no roof lanterns.

**LW/22/0719** – 2 Berwick Close - Demolition & replacement of side porch.

**LW/22/0017** – Morrisons, Dane Road - Installation of 2no vinyls on wall and 1no illuminated projecting signage to WMS Pharmacy.

#### **Approvals – Objection from STC**

None

### **Refusals – Objection from STC**

**LW/22/0286** Land at Florence House – see agenda item 6 elsewhere on the agenda.

### **Refusals – No Objection from STC**

**LW/22/0806** – 49 Cuckmere Road (Reasons for refusal: Out of scale with host dwelling and the surrounding properties and loss of light and privacy to neighbouring dwelling at no 51)

## **Tree Work Applications**

### **Approvals - No Objections from STC**

**TW/22/0075/TPO** – 6 Benenden Close - T1 - Sycamore - Prune canopy away from conservatory and the corner of the roof of the property by 3-4 meters, T2 - Sycamore - Prune canopy away from roof, guttering and tv aerial by 2-3 meters, T3 - Sycamore - Prune canopy away from roof and guttering by 2-3 meters, T4 - Sycamore - Prune away from corner of roof and guttering by 2-3 meters

**TW/22/0076/TPO** – 17 Barcombe Avenue - T1-T2 - Holme Oaks - Pruned by 3-4 meters and crown raised / T3 - Holme Oaks - Fell - Health & safety reasons  
T4 - Holme Oaks - Fell - Major decay, health & safety reasons

**TW/22/0101/TPO** – 33 Barn Close - T3 - Holm Oak - Crown reduction by 30%

**TW/22/0095/TPO** – 9 Seafeld Close - T11 - Sycamore - Reduce to previous pruning points 2m

**TW/22/0100/TPO** – 14 Badgers Copse - T1 - Sycamore - Crown reduction by 4-5 meters and T2 - Sycamore - Crown reduction by 4-5 meters and removal of dead branches T3 - Sycamore - Crown reduction by 4-5 meters T4 - Sycamore - Crown reduction by 4-5 meters T5 - Sycamore - Crown reduction by 4-5 meters

**TW/22/0096/TPO**- Hamilton House, Belgrave Road - T6 - Holm Oak - Repollard to old pruning points



## Notes Page

## Notes Page